

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/602,503	02/20/1996	MICHAEL B. BALL	2718US 4539		
75	590 11/20/2002				
JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI			EXAMINER		
TRASK BRITT & ROSSA PO BOX 2550			NGUYEN, DILINH P		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application N	0.	pplicant(s)				
•		08/602,503		BALL, MICHAEL B.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		DiLinh Nguye	n	2814				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
Period for	REPLY RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIS SET TO F	XPIRE 3 MONTH(	S) FROM				
THE M Extensis after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, holy within the statutory will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be timminimum of thirty (30) day bire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	September 200	<u>02</u> .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is no	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except fo	r formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	e merits is			
Dispositio	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	i Ex parte Quay	715, 1300 O.D. 11, •	100 0.0. 210.				
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>19,21-23 and 25-34</u> is/are pending i							
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>19,21-23 and 25-34</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requ	uirement.					
	on Papers							
9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Examin	1er. Santod or b\□ ab	viocted to by the Ev	aminer.				
10) 🗌 1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(e) be	held in abevance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
4410-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) Caming(s) be	roved b)  disappr	roved by the Examin	er.			
11)LJ   				-				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
121	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	<u> </u>						
a)(	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received in Applica	ation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documen Bureau (PCT R	ts have been recei ule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certifie	ed copies not receive	veu. Val (tala provisions	al annlication			
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority und	ier 35 U.S.C. § 119	o(e) (to a provisiona	α αμμιισαιίστι			
15)	a)  The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional app estic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	eceived. 20 and/or 121.				
Attachmer								
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper N al Patent Application (P	o(s) TO-152)			

Art Unit: 2814

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi (JP.Pat. 5-13665) in view of Gaynes et al. (U. S. Pat. 6002177).

Yamauchi discloses a method of fabricating a multi-die assembly (Fig. 1, abstract) comprising:

providing a subsbtrate 1 including a plurality of conductors 3;

attaching at least one active face down base die 2a to the substrate in electrical communication with at least some of the plurality of conductors;

securing the back side of at least one active face up stack die 2a to the at least one base die with an adhesive 7:

electrically connecting at least one stack die to at least one of the conductors, and electrically grounding at least one base die via the adhesive and at least one stack die.

Yamauchi fails to disclose the adhesive is the electrical conductive adhesive.

Gaynes et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising: a well 222 are filled with an electrically conductive adhesive to form chip stacking and via interconnections which provides increased density IC packaging. Therefore, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2814

. >

ì

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Yamauchi to form chip stacking and via interconnections which provides increased density IC packaging, as shown by Gaynes et al.

3. Claims 21-23, 25-29 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi (JP.Pat. 5-13665) in view of Gaynes et al. (U. S. Pat. 6002177) and further in view of Fogal et al. (U.S. Pat. 5323060).

Yamauchi and Gaynes et al. fail to disclose at least another stack die to the assembly and securing at least one discrete component to at least one of the stack die, the base die and the substrate.

- Regarding claims 23 and 25, Fogal et al. discloses a multi-chip semiconductor
   (fig. 1, column 2, lines 35 et seq.) comprising: securing at least another stack die
   54 to the assembly; and securing the stack die 54 to at least one of the stack die
   28 to provide a wire bonding of multichip modules to multichip module substrate.
- Regarding claims 21-22, 26-29 and 33-34, Fogal et al. disclose a multichip module (fig. 5, column 3, lines 43 et seq.) comprising :

a discrete component 75 to the substrate 12;

a discrete components 76 and 78 to an adhesive layer 77 to an upper uppermost chip 85; and

a bond wires 44a, 44b, and 79-81, wherein the bond wires bonding to the substrate and the chips. Fogal et al. show that discrete components can be added, while it is not specifically pointed out, the discrete component could include a filer (by pass) capacitor (column 3, line 53) which is needed for proper device operation and is

Art Unit: 2814

· >

not normally formed as part of a chip. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Yamauchi and Gaynes et al. to provide additional necessary components.

4. Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi (JP.Pat. 5-13665) in view of Gaynes et al. (U. S. Pat. 6002177) and Fogal et al. (U.S. Pat. 5323060) and further in view of Rostoker (U.S. Pat. 5399898).

Yamauchi, Gaynes et al. and Fogal et al. disclose the claimed invention except for not further disclose the face down base die includes attaching at least two active face down base die to the substrate.

Rostoker discloses the attaching at least one active face down base die includes attaching at least two active face down base die 404 and 410 (fig. 4a, column 14, lines 40 et seq.) to the substrate 402 and electrically coupling each of the base die with one of the plurality substrate conductors 408 and 414; and

a bridging 416 at least one stack die between the two base die, the assembly is forming should that none of the dice are arranged face to face and limited overlap. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Yamauchi, Gaynes et al. and Fogal et al. to provide a greater power dissipation and a natural convection cooling channel, as shown by Rostoker.

### Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that there is a lack of motivation to combine the references of Yamauchi, Fogal et al. and Rostoker et al., the test for obviousness is

Art Unit: 2814

not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined

teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art.

See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DiLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-6983. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 6:00PM (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DLN

November 12, 2002

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800